arXiv:1704.05383v1 [math-ph] 18 Apr 2017

GEODESICS IN NONEXPANDING IMPULSIVE GRAVITATIONAL WAVES WITH Λ, PART II

CLEMENS SÄMANN AND ROLAND STEINBAUER

Abstract. We investigate all geodesics in the entire class of nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves propagating in an (anti-)de Sitter universe using the distributional metric. We extend the regularization approach of part I, [SSLP16] to a full nonlinear distributional analysis within the geometric theory of generalized functions. We prove global existence and uniqueness of geodesics that cross the impulsive wave and hence geodesic completeness in full generality for this class of low regularity spacetimes. This, in particular, prepares the ground for a mathematically rigorous account on the 'physical equivalence' of the continuous with the distributional 'from' of the metric.

Keywords: impulsive gravitational waves, geodesic completeness, nonlinear generalized functions, distributional geometry

MSC2010: 83C15, 83C35, 46F30, 34A36

1. Introduction

Impulsive gravitational waves are exact radiative spacetimes of general relativity that provide theoretic models of short but violent bursts of gravitational radiation, which are also of significant interest to quantum theories of gravity. Originally introduced by R. Penrose (e.g. [Pen72]) their construction and physical properties have been extensively studied by many authors, for an overview see e.g. [GP09, Chapter 20], as well as [BH03, Pod02]. Apart from their physical significance these models are also interesting from a purely mathematical point of view since they are described by metrics of low regularity. More precisely impulsive gravitational waves have been described by two 'forms' of the metric, one (locally Lipschitz-)continuous, the other one even distributional. In particular, the 'physical equivalence' of these two descriptions has been established in several families of these models *in a formal way*, leaving open some quite subtle issues in low regularity Lorentzian geometry.

In this work we are especially interested in *nonexpanding* impulsive gravitational waves which propagate on a *cosmological background* of constant curvature, that is on de Sitter (with cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$) or anti-de Sitter ($\Lambda < 0$) universe. These models have come into focus with the pioneering work of Hotta and Tanaka [HT93], who performed an ultrarelativistic boost to the Schwarzschild–(anti-)de Sitter solution to obtain a nonexpanding spherical impulsive gravitational wave generated by a pair of null monopole particles. Since then many more such solutions have been found, see e.g. the review in [PSSŠ15, Section 2]. The corresponding *continuous* form of the metric is given by ([P98, PG99])

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{2\,|\mathrm{d}Z + U_+(H_{,Z\bar{Z}}\mathrm{d}Z + H_{,\bar{Z}\bar{Z}}\mathrm{d}\bar{Z})|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{d}U\mathrm{d}V}{[\,1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda(Z\bar{Z} - UV + U_+G)\,]^2}. \tag{1}$$

Date: April 19, 2017.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, projects P25326 and P28770.

Here $H(Z,\bar{Z})$ is an arbitrary real-valued function, $G(Z,\bar{Z}) = ZH_{,Z} + \bar{Z}H_{,\bar{Z}} - H$ and $U_+ = U_+(U) = 0$ for $U \leq 0$ and $U_+(U) = U$ for $U \geq 0$ is the *kink function*. The metric (1) is most easily generated from the conformally flat form of the constant curvature background

$$\mathrm{d}s_0^2 = \frac{2\,\mathrm{d}\eta\,\mathrm{d}\bar{\eta} - 2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}}{[\,1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda(\eta\bar{\eta} - \mathcal{U}\mathcal{V})\,]^2}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}$ are the usual null and $\eta,\bar{\eta}$ the usual complex spatial coordinates. More precisely applying the transformation

$$\mathcal{U} = U\,, \quad \mathcal{V} = \begin{cases} V & \text{for } \mathcal{U} < 0 \\ V + H + UH_{,Z}H_{,\bar{Z}} & \text{for } \mathcal{U} > 0 \end{cases}, \quad \eta = \begin{cases} Z & \text{for } \mathcal{U} < 0 \\ Z + UH_{,\bar{Z}} & \text{for } \mathcal{U} > 0 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

to (2) separately for negative and positive values of $\mathcal{U}$ one formally obtains (1). For all details see the introduction to part I, i.e., [SSLP16, Section 1]. The corresponding distributional form

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{2\,\mathrm{d}\eta\,\mathrm{d}\bar{\eta} - 2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V} + 2H(\eta,\bar{\eta})\,\delta(\mathcal{U})\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{U}^2}{[\,1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda(\eta\bar{\eta} - \mathcal{U}\mathcal{V})\,]^2} \tag{4}$$

is *formally* derived by writing (3) in the form of a 'discontinuous coordinate transform' using the Heaviside function Θ

$$\mathcal{U} = U\,, \quad \mathcal{V} = V + \Theta\,H + U_+\,H_{,Z}H_{,\bar{Z}}\,, \quad \eta = Z + U_+\,H_{,\bar{Z}} \tag{5}$$

applying it to (1) and retaining all distributional terms. Clearly, a mathematically sound treatment of the transformation (5) is a delicate matter.

A first rigorous result in this realm has been established in [KS99a] in the special case of impulsive *pp*-waves, i.e., nonexpanding impulsive waves propagating in a Minkowski background, hence $\Lambda = 0$ in the above metrics (1), (4). In particular, nonlinear distributional geometry ([GKOS01, Chapter 3]) based on algebras of generalized functions ([Col85]) has been employed to show the following: The 'discontinuous coordinate change' (5) (cf. [Pen72] for the plane wave and [AB97, PV98] for the general *pp*-wave case) relating the distributional Brinkmann form of the metric, i.e., (4) with $\Lambda = 0$ to the continuous Rosen form, i.e., (1) with $\Lambda = 0$ is the distributional limit of a 'generalized diffeomorphism'. For details on the latter concept see [EG11, EG13]. This result rests on the nonlinear distributional analysis of the geodesics in the metric (4) with $\Lambda = 0$, providing an existence, uniqueness and completeness result ([Ste98, KS99]).

Observe that especially the completeness result is remarkable since it proves that the analytically 'very singular' distributional spacetime is nonsingular in view of the standard definition ([Pen65]). More precisely, the metric (4) possesses a distributional coefficient hence lies outside the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics ([GT87]). Indeed this class is defined by the metric being of Sobolev regularity $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}} \cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}$ and is known to be the most general class which allows to stably define the curvature in distributions (see also [LFM07, SV09]). Concerning the use of geodesic completeness as a criterion for a spacetime to be nonsingular observe that the metric (4) is of regularity far below the class, which classically guarantees even the *local existence* of geodesics, which is C^1. Still more, it is outside the class of metrics which classically allows for *unique* local solvability of the geodesic equation ($C^{1,1}$, i.e., the first derivatives of the metric being locally Lipschitz continuous), which is the natural regularity assumption for the singularity theorems whose validity has recently been extended to this class ([KSSV15, KSV15]). From this point of view it becomes quite clear that in this low

regularity scenario both the solution concept for the geodesic equation as well as the notion of geodesic completeness has to be severely generalized as compared to the classical context and we will explain the respective notions in Section 2, below.

A reasonable first step in the long term project to rigorously treat the 'discontinuous transformation' (5) also in the case of nonvanishing Λ is a nonlinear distributional analysis of the geodesics of the metric (4). For such an analysis it turns out that a five-dimensional approach, i.e., describing the (anti-)de Sitter space with impulsive wave as a hyperboloid in a five-dimensional flat space with impulsive wave ([PG98, PG99]), is much better suited than a direct approach, as can be seen from the wild singularities encountered in the geodesic equations in [Sfe95, Appendix C]. Indeed in [PO01] the five-dimensional formalism has been used to *formally* derive the geodesics of all nonexpanding impulsive waves propagating in constant curvature backgrounds with $\Lambda \neq 0$ and to explicitly relate these geodesics to the geodesics of the respective background. More precisely in the five-dimensional approach one describes the impulsive spacetime as the (anti-)de Sitter hyperboloid given by

$$Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + \sigma Z_4^2 - 2UV = \sigma a^2, \tag{6}$$

with $a := \sqrt{3/(\sigma\Lambda)}$, $\sigma := \operatorname{sign}(\Lambda) = \pm 1$ in the five-dimensional impulsive *pp*-wave manifold

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}Z_2^2 + \mathrm{d}Z_3^2 + \sigma \mathrm{d}Z_4^2 - 2\mathrm{d}U\mathrm{d}V + H(Z_2, Z_3, Z_4)\delta(U)\mathrm{d}U^2. \tag{7}$$

Here $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_0 + Z_1)$, $V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_0 - Z_1)$ are null-coordinates[1] and $(Z_0, \ldots, Z_4)$ are global Cartesian coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^5$.

In part I of this series of papers [SSLP16] we have combined this five-dimensional formalism together with a careful regularization approach to provide a rigorous analysis of the geodesics. More precisely, we have replaced the five-dimensional impulsive *pp*-wave (7) by the regularized spacetime $(\bar{M} = \mathbb{R}^5, \bar{g}_\varepsilon)$ with line element

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{s}_\varepsilon^2 = \mathrm{d}Z_2^2 + \mathrm{d}Z_3^2 + \sigma \mathrm{d}Z_4^2 - 2\mathrm{d}U\mathrm{d}V + H(Z_2, Z_3, Z_4)\delta_\varepsilon(U)\mathrm{d}U^2\,. \tag{8}$$

Here $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ is fixed and δ_ε is a *model delta net* constructed from an arbitrary smooth function ρ on $\mathbb{R}$ with unit integral and support in $[-1, 1]$ by setting $\delta_\varepsilon(x) := (1/\varepsilon)\,\rho(x/\varepsilon)$. Hence $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_\varepsilon)$ may be viewed as a *sandwich wave* which is flat space outside the *wave zone* given by $|U| \leq \varepsilon$. The regularized impulsive wave spacetime of our interest (M, g_ε) is now given by the (anti-)de Sitter hyperboloid (6) embedded in $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_\varepsilon)$. The main achievement of part I on the geodesics in (M, g_ε) can now be formulated: We consider any geodesic $\gamma = (U, V, Z_p)$ in the regularized nonexpanding impulsive wave spacetime (M, g_ε) starting *outside* the wave zone $|U| \leq \varepsilon$ and heading towards it. Before γ hits the wave zone (for the first time), i.e., $U = -\varepsilon$ it is also a geodesic of the background, called *seed geodesic*, and we can fix some (ε-independent) initial data for γ such that its speed is normalized, i.e., $|\dot{\gamma}| = e = \pm 1, 0$. When the seed geodesic hits the wave zone it continues at least for some small parameter time as a local solution γ_ε of the regularized geodesic equations. Now [SSLP16, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] guarantee that there exists ε_0 such that γ_ε passes through the wave zone to the background region 'behind' it, *provided* $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

Here ε_0 depends on the seed γ via its initial data, cf. the discussion in part I, p. 11. Unfortunately this fact obstructs a straight forward formulation of completeness results: Although we can give precise bounds on ε_0 in terms of the seed geodesics' data (see [SSLP16, Equation

[1]These coordinates are different from those used in the metric (1). Since in this paper we will not use the continuous form (1) we simplify the notation by not distinguishing them by a bar (as done in [PSSŠ15]).

(A.14)]) there is no global ε such that all geodesics are complete. Consequently none of the spacetimes (M, g_ε) is geodesically complete (in the usual sense of the definition). Even worse, geodesics in the background spacetime with $\sigma e > 0$ (spacelike geodesics in de Sitter and timelike geodesics in anti-de Sitter space) are periodic. Consequently geodesics γ_ε in the regularized spacetime constructed from such seed geodesics γ will cross the wave zone infinitely often and we have to reapply the above results repeatedly with changing initial data. While this allows us to specify an ε_0 which will guarantee that γ_ε crosses the wave zone N times for any fixed integer N, we cannot give a global ε_0 for which the geodesic γ_ε is complete.

In this paper we remedy these defects by invoking the geometric theory of generalized functions ([GKOS01, Chapter 3]) which will allow us to formulate a *'global' completeness statement*. We will show global existence and uniqueness of geodesics in this framework and, in particular, handle the case of infinitely many crossings thereby establishing completeness for all geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves with Λ, which is also a completely new result as compared to [SSLP16].

Geodesic completeness of (semi-)Riemannian manifolds in the nonlinear distributional setting has been first considered explicitly in [SS15], where also completeness of a different class of impulsive gravitational waves has been established. This class consists of impulsive versions of models considered in [CFS03, FS03, CFS04, FS06] and called (general) plane-fronted waves (PFW) there. They generalize *pp*-waves by allowing for an arbitrary n-dimensional Riemannian manifold N as wave surface and consequently may be called *N-fronted waves with parallel rays (NPWs)*. Now the geodesics in impulsive NPWs (iNPWs) have been analyzed again using a regularization approach in [SS12], which was turned into a 'global' completeness result (in the sense discussed above) in [SS15]. Our current approach in some respect parallels the one of [SS12, SS15], however the technical complexity is severely increased, cf. [SSLP16, p. 19].

This paper is organized as follows. To keep our presentation self contained we briefly recall the basic elements of nonlinear distributional geometry in Section 2 where we also transfer the five-dimensional formalism to the generalized setting. We prove global existence and uniqueness to the system of geodesic equations in generalized functions in Section 3. Our main result on completeness of nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves in (anti-)de Sitter universe is given in Section 4. Finally we explicitly relate these complete geodesics to the geodesics of the cosmological background in section 5.

2. Nonlinear distributional geometry

To keep this presentation self-contained, we begin this section with a brief review of generalized semi-Riemannian geometry in the setting of Colombeau's nonlinear generalized functions. Colombeau algebras of generalized functions ([Col85]) are differential algebras which contain the vector space of Schwartz distributions and at the same time display maximal consistency with classical analysis. The theory of semi-Riemannian geometry based on the so-called special Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(M)$ has been developed in [KS02a, KS02b], see also [GKOS01, Section 3.2]. The basic idea of the construction to be detailed below is regularization of distributions via nets of smooth functions combined with an elaborate bookkeeping of asymptotic estimates in terms of a regularization parameter.

Let M be a smooth (second countable and Hausdorff) manifold and denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ the set of all nets $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]=:I}$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)^I$ depending smoothly on ε[2]. The *algebra of generalized functions on* M ([DRD91]) is defined as the quotient $\mathcal{G}(M) := \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{M}}(M)/\mathcal{N}(M)$ of *moderate* modulo *negligible* nets in $\mathcal{E}(M)$, which are defined via

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{M}}(M) := \{(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}(M) : \forall K \Subset M\ \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\ \exists N :\ \sup_{p\in K} |Pu_\varepsilon(p)| = O(\varepsilon^{-N})\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(M) := \{(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{M}}(M) :\ \forall K \Subset M\ \forall m :\ \sup_{p\in K} |u_\varepsilon(p)| = O(\varepsilon^{m})\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the space of all linear differential operators on M and $K \Subset M$ means that K is a compact subset of M. Elements of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ are denoted by $u = [(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ and we call $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ a representative of the generalized function u. Defining sum and product in $\mathcal{G}(M)$ componentwise (i.e., for ε fixed) and the Lie derivative with respect to smooth vector fields $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ via $L_\xi u := [(L_\xi u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $\mathcal{G}(M)$ becomes a *fine sheaf of differential algebras*.

There exist embeddings ι of the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ into $\mathcal{G}(M)$ that are sheaf homomorphisms and preserve the product of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-functions. A coarser way of relating generalized functions in $\mathcal{G}(M)$ to distributions is as follows: $u \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ is called *associated* with $v \in \mathcal{G}(M)$, $u \approx v$, if $u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. Moreover, $w \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is called associated with u if $u \approx \iota(w)$.

The ring of constants in $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is the space $\tilde{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{M}}/\mathcal{N}$ of generalized numbers, which form the natural space of point values of Colombeau generalized functions. These, in turn, are uniquely characterized by their values on so-called compactly supported generalized points.

More generally the space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(M, E)$ of *generalized sections* of the vector bundle E is defined as $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(M, E) = \mathcal{G}(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}\Gamma(M, E) = L_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}(\Gamma(M, E^*), \mathcal{G}(M))$. It is a fine sheaf of finitely generated and projective $\mathcal{G}$-modules. For *generalized tensor fields* of rank r, s we use the notation $\mathcal{G}^r_s(M)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{G}^r_s(M) \cong L_{\mathcal{G}(M)}(\mathcal{G}^1_0(M)^s, \mathcal{G}^0_1(M)^r; \mathcal{G}(M)). \tag{9}$$

Observe that this, in particular, allows the insertion of generalized vector fields and one-forms into generalized tensors, which is not possible in the distributional setting (cf. [Mar68, DeR84]) but essential when dealing with generalized metrics. Here a *generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric* is a section $g \in \mathcal{G}^0_2(M)$ that is symmetric with determinant $\det g$ invertible in $\mathcal{G}$ (equivalently $|\det(g_\varepsilon)_{ij}| > \varepsilon^m$ for some m on compact sets), and a well-defined index ν (the index of g_ε equals ν for ε small). By a "globalization Lemma" in ([KSSV14, Lemma 2.4, p. 6]) any generalized metric g possesses a representative $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ such that each g_ε is a smooth metric globally on M. We call a pair (M, g) consisting of a smooth manifold and a generalized metric a generalized semi-Riemannian manifold.

Based on this definition a convenient framework for non-smooth pseudo-Riemannian geometry has been developed. It in turn enables an analysis of spacetimes of low regularity in general relativity consistently extending the "maximal distributional" setting of Geroch and Traschen ([GT87]), see [SV09, Ste08]. In particular, any generalized metric induces an isomorphism between generalized vector fields and one-forms, and there is a unique Levi-Civita connection ∇ corresponding to g.

Finally to discuss geodesics in generalized semi-Riemannian manifolds we have to introduce the space of generalized curves defined on an interval J *taking values in the manifold* M

[2]Smooth dependence on the parameter renders the theory technically more pleasant but was not assumed in earlier references, cf. the discussion in [BK12, Section 1].

$\mathcal{G}[J,M]$. It is again a quotient of moderate modulo negligible nets $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of smooth curves, where we call a net moderate (negligible) if $(\psi\circ\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is moderate (negligible) for all smooth $\psi : M \to \mathbb{R}$. In addition, $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is supposed to be *c-bounded*, which means that $\gamma_\varepsilon(K)$ is contained in a compact set for ε small and all compact sets $K \Subset J$. Observe that no distributional counterpart of such a space exists.

The *induced covariant derivative* of a generalized vector field ξ on a generalized curve $\gamma = [(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}[J,M]$ is defined componentwise and gives again a generalized vector field ξ' on γ. In particular, a *geodesic* in a generalized pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}[J,M]$ satisfying $\gamma'' = 0$. Equivalently the usual local formula holds, i.e.,

$$\Big[\Big(\frac{d^2\gamma_\varepsilon^k}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j}\Gamma^k_{\varepsilon ij}\frac{\gamma_\varepsilon^i}{dt}\frac{\gamma_\varepsilon^j}{dt}\Big)_\varepsilon\Big] = 0, \tag{10}$$

where $\Gamma^k_{ij} = [(\Gamma^k_{\varepsilon ij})_\varepsilon]$ denotes the Christoffel symbols of the generalized metric $g = [(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$. Now the following definition is natural.

Definition 2.1. *(*[SS15, Definition 2.1, p. 240]*) Let $g \in \mathcal{G}^2_0(M)$ be a generalized semi-Riemannian metric. Then (M,g) is said to be* geodesically complete *if every geodesic γ can be defined on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., every solution of the geodesic equation*

$$\gamma'' = 0, \tag{11}$$

is in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R},M]$.

Now we set up the five-dimensional framework to deal with impulsive waves propagating in cosmological backgrounds. To begin with we recall that a *model delta function* is an element $D \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ that has as a representative a *model delta net* $\delta_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, where again $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support in $[-1,1]$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho = 1$.

Now let $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\sigma := \operatorname{sign}(\Lambda) = \pm 1$. Then we define the 5-dimensional generalized impulsive *pp*-wave manifold $(\bar{M} = \mathbb{R}^5, \bar{g})$ via

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{s}^2 = dZ_2^2 + dZ_3^2 + \sigma dZ_4^2 - 2dUdV + H(Z_2,Z_3,Z_4)D(U)dU^2\,, \tag{12}$$

where Z_2, Z_3, Z_4 are global Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and U, V are global null coordinates. One easily checks that this defines a generalized metric in the sense above. At this point we specify the hypersurface M in $(\bar{M},\bar{g})$, which will be our main playground

$$\begin{aligned} M :=& \{(U,V,Z_2,Z_3,Z_4) \in \bar{M} : F(U,V,Z_2,Z_3,Z_4) = 0\}\,, \quad \text{where} \\ & F(U,V,Z_2,Z_3,Z_4) := -2UV + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + \sigma Z_4^2 - \sigma a^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note that M is a (classical) smooth hypersurface. Finally we restrict the metric $\bar{g}$ to M to obtain the generalized spacetime (M,g) which we take as our model of nonexpanding impulsive waves propagating on a(n anti-)de Sitter universe.

To derive the geodesic equations in (M,g) we recall that all the classical formulas hold componentwise (i.e., for fixed ε) in nonlinear generalized functions. So we may use the classical condition that the geodesics' $\bar{M}$-acceleration is normal to M, $\bar{\nabla}_T T = -\sigma g(T,\bar{\nabla}_T N)N/g(N,N)$ to derive the geodesic equations. Here T is the geodesic tangent and N denotes the (non-normalized) normal vector to M defined via its representative $N^\alpha_\varepsilon = g_\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}dF_\beta$, cf. [SSLP16, p. 6]. In this way we arrive for the representatives $\gamma_\varepsilon = (U_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon, Z_{p\varepsilon})$ of a generalized geodesic

$\gamma = (U, V, Z_p)$ in M precisely at [SSLP16, (2.17)], which for γ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{U} &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}^2\,\tilde{G} - \dot{U}\,\big(H\,D\,U\big)^{\cdot}\Big)\;\frac{U}{\sigma a^2 - U^2 H D}\,,\\
\ddot{V} - \frac{1}{2}\,H\,\dot{D}\,\dot{U}^2 - \delta^{pq} H_{,p}\,\dot{Z}_q\,D\,\dot{U} &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}^2\,\tilde{G} - \dot{U}\,\big(H\,D\,U\big)^{\cdot}\Big)\;\frac{V + H\,D\,U}{\sigma a^2 - U^2 H D}\,,\\
\ddot{Z}_i - \frac{1}{2} H_{,i}\,D\,\dot{U}^2 &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}^2\,\tilde{G} - \dot{U}\,\big(H\,D\,U\big)^{\cdot}\Big)\;\frac{Z_i}{\sigma a^2 - U^2 H D}\,,\\
\ddot{Z}_4 - \frac{\sigma}{2}\,H_{,4}\,D\,\dot{U}^2 &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}^2\,\tilde{G} - \dot{U}\,\big(H\,D\,U\big)^{\cdot}\Big)\;\frac{Z_4}{\sigma a^2 - U^2 H D}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

Here again $e = |\dot{\gamma}| = \pm 1, 0$ for which it is natural to be fixed independently of ε.

3. Unique global existence of geodesics crossing the impulse

In this section we prove existence and uniqueness of globally defined (generalized) solutions of the geodesic equations (14) with suitable initial data that enforces them to cross the wave impulse.

To begin with we recall the basic steps one has to take to solve an initial value problem (IVP) in generalized functions. We consider

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{Z}(t) = F(Z(t))\,,\\
Z(t_0) = Z^0\,,
\end{cases}
\tag{15}
$$

where we assume the right hand side to be a generalized function $F \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the initial condition to be a generalized vector $Z^0 \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$. We look for solutions $Z \in \mathcal{G}[J, \mathbb{R}^n]$ on an interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing t_0. For all details we refer to [KOSV04].

To prove existence and uniqueness of (15) one basically solves the IVP componentwise, i.e., for each ε one solves

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{Z}_\varepsilon(t) = F_\varepsilon(Z_\varepsilon(t))\,,\\
Z_\varepsilon(t_0) = Z^0_\varepsilon\,,
\end{cases}
\tag{16}
$$

where $F = [(F_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $Z = [(Z_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ and $Z^0 = [(Z^0_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ and then derives the necessary asymptotic estimates. In some more detail one proceeds in the following three steps.

1. First, one proves existence of a so-called *solution candidate*, i.e., a net of smooth functions $Z_\varepsilon \colon J \to \mathbb{R}^n$ depending smoothly on the parameter ε such that for all fixed (and small) ε we have $\dot{Z}_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon(Z_\varepsilon)$, $Z_\varepsilon(t_0) = Z^0_\varepsilon$. Note that the domain of definition J of the solution candidate has to be independent of ε or at least to depend on ε favorably (e.g. not shrinking to a point for $\varepsilon \to 0$).
2. Second, one shows *existence* of a generalized solution by establishing c-boundedness and moderateness of the solution candidate, i.e., $Z := [(Z_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}[J, \mathbb{R}^n]$.
3. Third, to show *uniqueness* in $\mathcal{G}$ one solves a negligibly perturbed version of (16), i.e., $\dot{\tilde{Z}}_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_\varepsilon) + a_\varepsilon$, $\tilde{Z}_\varepsilon(t_0) = Z^0_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon$ with negligible $(a_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, $(b_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ and shows that the corresponding net of solution $(\tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ only differs negligibly from Z, i.e., $[(\tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] = [(Z_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] = Z$.

Note that a uniqueness result in $\mathcal{G}$ amounts to an additional stability result, since it says that negligible perturbations of the initial value problem lead to only negligibly perturbed solutions.

In our present analysis we aim at establishing geodesic completeness of the impulsive wave spacetime (M, g) with generalized metric g. So we have to prove that all solutions of the geodesic equations (14) are global. In this section we will prove global existence and uniqueness of solutions given any initial data that forces them to run into the impulsive wave. For convenience we will generate such arbitrary initial data from geodesics of the constant curvature background, cf. the discussion of seed geodesics in Section 1. All other geodesics, i.e., those which do not cross the impulsive wave are simple to deal with and we will briefly do so in the following section prior to presenting the completeness result.

The main issue in showing *global* existence is that we have to first construct a global solution candidate. To this end we will use the power of the theory of nonlinear generalized functions to overcome the obstacle that the regularization approach in combination with the fixed point argument detailed in part I does not produce a net of global ε-wise solutions, cf. the discussion on page 3. Indeed the ε_0 from which on a geodesics is complete depends in its initial data. This, in particular, affects the case $\sigma e > 0$ where the geodesics cross the wave impulse arbitrarily often[3]. As discussed in the introduction (see also [SSLP16, p. 10f.]) the 'pure' regularization approach of part I does not allow to prove existence for infinitely many crossings. Here, however, we handle also this case when constructing a global solution candidate.

We will nevertheless start by first constructing a locally defined solution candidate, i.e., a net of geodesics that cross the wave impulse *once* and we will only later extend it to a global solution candidate.

3.1. **Construction of a local solution candidate**

Already in the construction of a local solution candidate, to be detailed in this subsection, we need to generalize the approach of [SSLP16] such as to allow the initial data to be generated by a *family* of seed geodesics rather than by a single seed geodesic.

To begin with consider a family of geodesics $\gamma_\varepsilon^- = (U_\varepsilon^-, V_\varepsilon^-, Z_{p\varepsilon}^-)$ of the background (anti-)de Sitter universe *without* impulsive wave but reaching $U = 0$ (all other geodesics are not of interest now and will be dealt with separately in section 4). Without loss of generality we can assume that $U_\varepsilon^-(0) = 0$ by choosing an affine parameter for γ_ε^- appropriately and we assume $\dot\gamma_\varepsilon^-$ to be normalized by $e = \pm 1, 0$, independently of ε. Furthermore, again without loss of generality we can assume that the U-speed when reaching $U = 0$, call it $\dot U_\varepsilon^{-0}$, is positive (the case $\dot U_\varepsilon^{-0} < 0$ can be treated in complete analogy) so that locally U_ε^- is increasing. It is thus most convenient to prescribe initial data at the affine parameter value 0, that is we set

$$\gamma_\varepsilon^-(0) =: (0, V_\varepsilon^0, Z_{p\varepsilon}^0)\,, \qquad \dot\gamma_\varepsilon^-(0) =: (\dot U_\varepsilon^0 > 0, \dot V_\varepsilon^0, \dot Z_{p\varepsilon}^0)\,, \tag{17}$$

where the constants satisfy the constraints

$$(Z_{2\varepsilon}^0)^2 + (Z_{3\varepsilon}^0)^2 + \sigma(Z_{4\varepsilon}^0)^2 = \sigma a^2, \quad Z_{2\varepsilon}^0\dot Z_{2\varepsilon}^0 + Z_{3\varepsilon}^0\dot Z_{3\varepsilon}^0 + \sigma Z_{4\varepsilon}^0\dot Z_{4\varepsilon}^0 - V_\varepsilon^0\dot U_\varepsilon^0 = 0\,, \tag{18}$$

for every ε, which follows from (6) (with $U_\varepsilon^0 = 0$). In addition the normalization condition

$$-2\dot U_\varepsilon^0\dot V_\varepsilon^0 + (\dot Z_{2\varepsilon}^0)^2 + (\dot Z_{3\varepsilon}^0)^2 + \sigma(\dot Z_{4\varepsilon}^0)^2 = e \tag{19}$$

[3]Observe that in our approach it is a *result* that the geodesics preserve the norm of their tangent vector when crossing the impulse (cf. [SSLP16, Remark 3.3]) and hence their causal character.

holds for every ε. Now we assume that the net $(\gamma_\varepsilon^-(0))_\varepsilon$ of data at 0 converges, more precisely we assume

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\gamma_\varepsilon^-(0) =: (0, V^0, Z_p^0)\,, \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\dot\gamma_\varepsilon^-(0) =: (\dot U^0 > 0, \dot V^0, \dot Z_p^0)\,, \tag{20}$$

which automatically satisfy the constraint and the normalization. We will refer to a family $(\gamma_\varepsilon^-)_\varepsilon$ as described here as a *family of seed geodesics*. Note that $(\gamma_\varepsilon^-)_\varepsilon$ depends on ε only via its data and that it converges locally uniformly together with its first derivative to a fixed background geodesic γ^- with data (20) by continuous dependence of solutions of ODEs on their data.

At this point we start to think of γ_ε^- as geodesics in the impulsive wave spacetime (7), (6) *'in front' of the impulse* that is for $U_\varepsilon^- < 0$. Also, γ_ε^- are geodesics in the regularized spacetime (8), (6) *'in front' of the sandwich wave*, that is for $U_\varepsilon^- \le -\varepsilon$. We will denote the affine parameter time when γ_ε^- enters this regularization wave region by α_ε,

$$U_\varepsilon^-(\alpha_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon\,. \tag{21}$$

Observe that $\alpha_\varepsilon \to 0$ from below as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Finally we come to set up the data for the solution candidate γ_ε of the system (14) by

$$\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon^-(\alpha_\varepsilon), \quad \dot\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \dot\gamma_\varepsilon^-(\alpha_\varepsilon), \tag{22}$$

i.e., as the data the family of seed geodesics assumes at α_ε. We will frequently refer to these data (22) as initial data constructed from the seed family with data (17).

Now we will provide the local solution candidate by proving that we can extend the background geodesics not only into but even *through* the entire wave zone. Local existence of such an extension for one crossing is provided by a fixed point argument. More precisely it suffices to study the model system below. Note that compared to [SSLP16, Equation (A.1), p. 20] we deal with a negligibly perturbed system which is necessary to prove uniqueness in Subsection 3.4, below. We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot u_\varepsilon &= -\frac{e u_\varepsilon + \Delta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} + a_\varepsilon\,,\\
\ddot z_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} DH\,\delta_\varepsilon \dot u_\varepsilon^2 &= -\frac{e z_\varepsilon + \Delta_\varepsilon z_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} + c_\varepsilon\,,\\
u_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon, \quad \dot u_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) &= \dot u_\varepsilon^0 + d_\varepsilon, \quad z_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = z_\varepsilon^0 + f_\varepsilon, \quad \dot z_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \dot z_\varepsilon^0 + h_\varepsilon\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2}\,\dot u_\varepsilon^2\, G_\varepsilon - \dot u_\varepsilon \left(H\,\delta_\varepsilon\, u_\varepsilon\right)^{\cdot},\\
N_\varepsilon &= \sigma a^2 - u_\varepsilon^2 H \delta_\varepsilon\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and $H = H(z_\varepsilon)$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^3$, DH denotes its gradient, and $G_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon) := DH(z_\varepsilon)\,\delta_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)\,z_\varepsilon + H(z_\varepsilon)\,\delta_\varepsilon'(u_\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon$. Moreover we assume $(a_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$, $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $(d_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}$, $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon, (h_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}^3$. Finally, the initial conditions are specified in the spirit of the seed family approach as follows: We fix $\dot u^0 > 0$ and $z^0, \dot z^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and assume

$$x_\varepsilon^0 := (-\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon^0) \to (0, z^0) =: x^0, \quad \dot x_\varepsilon^0 := (\dot u_\varepsilon^0, \dot z_\varepsilon^0) \to (\dot u^0, \dot z^0) =: \dot x^0 \tag{24}$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Furthermore let $\alpha_\varepsilon < 0$ such that $\alpha_\varepsilon \nearrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Also we will frequently use the notation $x_\varepsilon := (u_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)$.

At this point we set up the space of possible solutions. We will outline the basic steps of the construction extending the approach of [SSLP16, Appendix A]. Let $C_1 > 0$ and set

$$C_2 := 1 + \max\Big(\frac{81}{2}\|DH\|\|\rho\|\dot{u}^0,\ \frac{12}{a^2}(|z^0| + C_1),$$
$$\frac{54}{a^2}(|z^0| + C_1)\big(\frac{3}{2}\dot{u}^0\|DH\|\|\rho\|(|z^0| + C_1) + \frac{3}{2}\dot{u}^0\|H\|\|\rho'\| + 2\|DH\|\|\rho\| + 3\dot{u}^0\|H\|\|\rho\|\big)\Big)\,,$$

where $\|H\| = \|H\|_{L^\infty(B_{C_1}(z^0))}$ and $\|DH\| = \|DH\|_{L^\infty(B_{C_1}(z^0))}$, the L^∞-norm on the closed ball of radius C_1 around z^0.

Now we define $\eta > 0$ — the length of the solution interval (which is independent of ε) by

$$\eta := \min\Big\{1,\ \frac{a^2}{4(1+9\dot{u}^0)}, \frac{6C_1}{25+9\dot{u}^0}, \frac{C_1}{16|\dot{z}^0|}, \frac{C_1}{54\|DH\|\|\rho\|\dot{u}^0}, \frac{C_1a^2}{16(|z^0|+C_1)}, \frac{C_1a^2}{24(|z^0|+C_1)}$$
$$\times\Big(\frac{9}{2}\dot{u}^0\|DH\|\|\rho\|(|z^0|+C_1) + \frac{9}{2}\dot{u}^0\|H\|\|\rho'\| + 6\|DH\|(|\dot{z}^0|+C_2)\|\rho\| + \frac{9}{2}\dot{u}^0\|H\|\|\rho\|\Big)^{-1}\Big\}\,.$$

Set $J_\varepsilon := [\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$ and define a closed subset of the complete metric space $\mathcal{C}^1(J_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^4)$ by setting

$$\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon := \Big\{x_\varepsilon := (u_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}^1(J_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R}^4) : x_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = x_\varepsilon^0 + (0, f_\varepsilon),\ \dot{x}_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \dot{x}^0 + (d_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon),$$
$$\|x_\varepsilon - x^0\| \le C_1,\ \|\dot{z}_\varepsilon - \dot{z}^0\| \le C_2,\ \dot{u}_\varepsilon \in [\frac{1}{2}\dot{u}^0, \frac{3}{2}\dot{u}^0]\Big\}\,. \tag{25}$$

Now we define the solution operator $A_\varepsilon = (A_\varepsilon^1, A_\varepsilon^2)$ on $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$:

$$A_\varepsilon^1(x_\varepsilon)(t) := -\varepsilon + (\dot{u}_\varepsilon^0 + d_\varepsilon)(t - \alpha_\varepsilon) - \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \frac{eu_\varepsilon + \Delta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s a_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}s\,,$$
$$A_\varepsilon^2(x_\varepsilon)(t) := z_\varepsilon^0 + f_\varepsilon + (\dot{z}_\varepsilon^0 + h_\varepsilon)(t - \alpha_\varepsilon)$$
$$+ \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \frac{1}{2}DH\delta_\varepsilon\dot{u}_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{ez_\varepsilon + \Delta_\varepsilon z_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s c_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}s\,. \tag{26}$$

Next we will show that the operator A_ε possesses a unique fixed point in $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$. The first steps are (cf. [SSLP16, Lemma A.1 and A.2, p. 21]):

Lemma 3.1.

(i) Let $z \in B_{C_1}(z^0)$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ then if $\varepsilon \le \frac{a^2}{2\|\rho\|\|H\|}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|\sigma a^2 - u^2 H\delta_\varepsilon|} \le \frac{2}{a^2}\,. \tag{27}$$

(ii) For $x_\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ define $\Gamma_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) := \{t \in J_\varepsilon : |u_\varepsilon(t)| \le \varepsilon\}$ to be the parameter interval, where u_ε is in the regularized wave zone, then

$$\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) \le \frac{4\varepsilon}{\dot{u}^0}\,. \tag{28}$$

Now we can quantify how small ε has to be. To begin with let

$$\varepsilon_0' := \min\Big\{\frac{1}{12},\ \frac{1}{12a^2}\big(\dot{u}^0(\|\rho\|(\frac{3}{2}\|DH\|(|z^0|+C_1) + 2\|DH\|(|\dot{z}^0|+C_2) + \frac{3}{2}\|H\| + \frac{3}{2}\|H\|\|\rho'\|\big)^{-1},$$
$$\eta,\ \frac{C_1}{16},\ \frac{C_2}{6},\ \frac{1}{|\dot{z}^0|+C_2},\ \frac{\eta\dot{u}^0}{6}\Big\}\,, \tag{29}$$

and let $0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_0'$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|d_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon,\ \|f_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon,\ \|h_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon,\ |a_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon \text{ (on } [-1,1]),\ \|c_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ (on } [-1,1]),\\
&|\dot{u}_\varepsilon^0 - \dot{u}^0| \leq \frac{1}{8},\ \|z_\varepsilon^0 - z^0\| \leq \frac{C_1}{8},\ \text{and } \|\dot{z}_\varepsilon^0 - \dot{z}^0\| \leq \min(\frac{C_1}{16}, \frac{C_2}{6})\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}
$$

With these preparations one shows that A_ε maps $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ to $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ (cf. [SSLP16, Proposition A.3, p. 22]) as well as the results analogous to [SSLP16, Lemma A.4, p. 25, Proposition A.5, p. 25] (in fact, with modified constants) providing the necessary estimates which in turn allow to show that A_ε possesses a fixed point in $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ and hence that (23) has a local solution candidate (cf. [SSLP16, Theorem A.6, p. 27]).

Proposition 3.2 (Local solution candidate)**.** *Consider the IVP* (23) *with* $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ *where* ε_0 *is given by* (29), (30)*. Then there is a unique smooth solution* $(u_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)$ *on* $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$*, depending smoothly on* ε*. Moreover,* u_ε *and* z_ε *as well as their first order derivatives are uniformly bounded in* ε*.*

Proof: The above construction allows the application of Weissinger's fixed point theorem ([Wei:52]) for fixed $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and η as above, providing thus a unique fixed point for the operator A_ε on the space $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ which in turn gives a unique $\mathcal{C}^1$-solution $x_\varepsilon = (u_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon)$ on $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$ to the IVP (23). Moreover, since the right hand side of the system is smooth the solution is smooth as well, and in addition depends smoothly on ε.

The solution obtained via the fixed point argument is unique in the space $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ and thereby unique among all smooth solutions assuming this data by the usual argument from ODE-theory.

Finally, u_ε, $\dot{u}_\varepsilon$, z_ε, and $\dot{z}_\varepsilon$ are bounded uniformly in ε on $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$ by the very definition of $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$. □

Going back from the model IVP (23) to the geodesic equations (14) on the level of representatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{U}_\varepsilon &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon^2\, G_\varepsilon - \dot{U}_\varepsilon \left(H\,\delta_\varepsilon\, U_\varepsilon\right)^{\cdot}\Big)\ \frac{U_\varepsilon}{\sigma a^2 - U_\varepsilon^2 H \delta_\varepsilon}\,,\\
\ddot{V}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\,H\,\delta_\varepsilon'\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon^2 - \delta^{pq} H_{,p}\,\delta_\varepsilon\,\dot{Z}_q^\varepsilon\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon^2\, G_\varepsilon - \dot{U}_\varepsilon \left(H\,\delta_\varepsilon\, U_\varepsilon\right)^{\cdot}\Big)\ \frac{V_\varepsilon + H\,\delta_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon}{\sigma a^2 - U_\varepsilon^2 H \delta_\varepsilon}\,,\\
\ddot{Z}_{i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} H_{,i}\,\delta_\varepsilon \dot{U}_\varepsilon^2 &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon^2\, G_\varepsilon - \dot{U}_\varepsilon \left(H\,\delta_\varepsilon\, U_\varepsilon\right)^{\cdot}\Big)\ \frac{Z_{i\varepsilon}}{\sigma a^2 - U_\varepsilon^2 H \delta_\varepsilon}\,,\\
\ddot{Z}_{4\varepsilon} - \frac{\sigma}{2}\,H_{,4}\,\delta_\varepsilon \dot{U}_\varepsilon^2 &= -\Big(e + \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{U}_\varepsilon^2\, G_\varepsilon - \dot{U}_\varepsilon \left(H\,\delta_\varepsilon\, U_\varepsilon\right)^{\cdot}\Big)\ \frac{Z_{4\varepsilon}}{\sigma a^2 - U_\varepsilon^2 H \delta_\varepsilon}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}
$$

(which at the moment we only need for a_ε, c_ε, d_ε, f_ε, h_ε vanishing) Proposition 3.2 gives solution candidates $U_\varepsilon, Z_{p\varepsilon}$ of the corresponding components of (31) on $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$. Furthermore, we are able to solve the V-equation on $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$ since it is linear (using the already constructed solution candidates $U_\varepsilon, Z_{p\varepsilon}$). Moreover, $(V_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is locally bounded uniformly in ε, as can be seen as in [SSLP16, Proposition 4.1, p. 12f.]. This gives a unique net of solutions of (31)

$$
\gamma_\varepsilon := (U_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon, Z_{p\varepsilon}) \text{ defined on } [\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta], \tag{32}
$$

with data constructed from the seed family with data (17), see (24).

This net hence constitutes a solution candidate for the system (14) of geodesic equations in the generalized spacetime (M, g) with data constructed from the seed data (17) and defined

on the interval $J_\varepsilon = [\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$. First, for the purpose of constructing a local solution candidate we assume the data (17) to be constant in ε and to be given by (20). That is we assume the data to be given by the *single* seed geodesic γ^- with $\gamma^-(0) = (0, V^0, Z_p^0)$ and $\dot\gamma^-(0) = (\dot U^0, \dot V^0, \dot Z_p^0)$, which leads to the data

$$\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \gamma^-(\alpha_\varepsilon), \quad \dot\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = \dot\gamma^-(\alpha_\varepsilon) \tag{33}$$

for the net γ_ε at α_ε. Next observe that the solution interval J_ε is depending on ε but in a favorable way. Indeed we have by the definition (25) of $\mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon$ that

$$U_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon + \eta) = -\varepsilon + \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^{\alpha_\varepsilon+\eta} \dot U_\varepsilon(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \geq -\varepsilon + \frac{\eta}{2}\dot U^0 \geq -\varepsilon + 3\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon\,, \tag{34}$$

where in the one but last inequality we have used $\varepsilon \leq \eta\,\dot U^0/6$ according to (29).

Summing up we have found a net of solutions $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ to (31) with data (33) which (for ε small enough) crosses the regularization wave zone. More precisely call the parameter value when γ_ε leaves the wave zone β_ε, i.e., $U_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$, then γ_ε is defined on an interval that contains $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \beta_\varepsilon]$ in its interior since outside this interval γ_ε reaches the region of spacetime coinciding with the background (anti-)de Sitter space.

To the past of α_ε the net γ_ε smoothly extends to the seed geodesic γ^- as long as the latter is defined. Being a background geodesic it will be past complete and it will also be a complete solution in the regularized impulsive wave spacetime as long as it does not reach the regularized wave zone (again). This will be for all negative values of the parameter in case $\sigma e \leq 0$ and at least for some finite negative parameter value in case $\sigma e > 0$. In any case the net γ_ε extends smoothly to the single seed geodesic γ^- in the region 'before' the wave zone.

To the future of β_ε the situation is slightly more complicated. Indeed γ_ε extends smoothly to a family of background geodesics γ_ε^+ which are determined by the data of γ_ε at β_ε, i.e., we have

$$\gamma_\varepsilon^+(\beta_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon) =: (\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon^{0+}, Z_{p\varepsilon}^{0+})\,, \quad \dot\gamma_\varepsilon^+(\beta_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon) =: (\dot U_\varepsilon^{0+}, \dot V_\varepsilon^{0+}, \dot Z_{p\varepsilon}^{0+})\,. \tag{35}$$

Now again in case $\sigma e \leq 0$ each γ_ε^+ is (forward) complete and we have already found a *global* solution candidate. However, in case $\sigma e > 0$ we will again only have some finite parameter value before γ_ε^+ reenters the wave zone. So in total we have at least found a local solution candidate that crosses the wave zone once and extends as a single seed geodesic into the background 'before' the impulse and as a family of background geodesics into part of the (anti-)de Sitter spacetime 'behind' the impulse. This situation is depicted in the left half of Figure 1 and we summarize it as follows:

Proposition 3.3 (Extension of the solution candidate)**.** *The unique smooth geodesics $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of* (32) *with initial data* (33) *extend to geodesics of the background (anti-)de Sitter spacetime 'before' and 'behind' the wave zone. Hence it provides a local solution candidate that crosses the impulse once.*

3.2. **Construction of a global solution candidate**

Now we are going to globalize the above result, in the sense that we handle the case of infinitely many crossings of the wave impulse, thereby extending the maximal interval of definition of these solution candidates to all of $\mathbb{R}$. As discussed above this is only necessary if $\sigma e > 0$, which will be assumed throughout this subsection. To this end we will employ advanced construction methods for (Colombeau) generalized functions. We make use of the

following *globalization Lemma* (cf. [KSSV14, Lemma 2.4, p. 6] but note that actually point (ii) there is redundant).

Lemma 3.4. *Let M, N be manifolds, and $J := (0,1)$. Let $u\colon J \times M \to N$ be a smooth map and let (P) be a property attributable to values $u(\varepsilon, p)$, satisfying: For any $K \Subset M$ there exists some $\varepsilon_K > 0$ such that (P) holds for all $p \in K$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_K$. Then there exists a smooth map $\tilde{u}\colon J \times M \to N$ such that (P) holds for all $\tilde{u}(\varepsilon, p)$ ($\varepsilon \in J$, $p \in M$) and for each $K \Subset M$ there exists some $\varepsilon_K \in J$ such that $\tilde{u}(\varepsilon, p) = u(\varepsilon, p)$ for all $(\varepsilon, p) \in (0, \varepsilon_K] \times K$.*

Theorem 3.5 (Global solution candidate)**.** *There exists a net of solutions $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of* (31)*, with data* (33)*, defined on all of $\mathbb{R}$ for all $\varepsilon \in I$, thus constituting a global solution candidate for the system* (14)*.*

Proof: We will only prove forward completeness, i.e., existence on $[0, \infty)$, the case of backward completeness is completely analogous. We aim at applying Lemma 3.4. To this end we need to establish that we can apply Proposition 3.2 again after we already crossed the impulse once. That is we have to show that the geodesics γ_ε which 'behind' the wave zone coincide with the background geodesics γ_ε^+, see (35) qualify as a seed family (as defined in the beginning of Subsection 3.1).

To formalize this denote the parameter value when $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon^+$ (re)enters the regularization strip by α'_ε, i.e., $U_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ (of course there is now a sign change). Then $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon^+$ on $[\beta_\varepsilon, \alpha'_\varepsilon]$ and we have to prove that $\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon)$ and $\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) = \dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon)$ converge.

First observe that on $[\beta_\varepsilon, \alpha'_\varepsilon]$ being a background geodesic we can explicitly calculate the U component of $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon^+$ to be (cf. e.g. [SSLP16, Equation (3.2), p. 8])

$$U_\varepsilon(t) = a\dot{U}_\varepsilon^{0+} \sin(\frac{t}{a})\,. \tag{36}$$

So the next crossing of the impulse happens at $t = a\pi$ hence $\alpha'_\varepsilon \to \pi a$, see Figure 1 for an illustration.

Now by continuous dependence of solutions on the data, on every compact subinterval $[t_1, t_2]$ of $[0, \pi a]$ the geodesics $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon^+$ convergence uniformly together with their first derivatives to the background geodesic γ^+ with initial data at $t = 0$ given by the limit of (35) (cf. [SSLP16, Theorem 5.1, p. 15]). The latter indeed exists and has been explicitly related to the corresponding seed data in [SSLP16, Proposition 5.3, p. 18]. Moreover this convergence is uniform with respect to t_2, i.e., we have for fixed t_1 and any $t_2 \leq a\pi$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2} \left(|\gamma_\varepsilon(t) - \gamma^+(t)|, |\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\gamma}^+(t)|\right) \\ &\qquad \leq \max\left(|\gamma_\varepsilon(t_1) - \gamma^+(t_1)|, |\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(t_1) - \dot{\gamma}^+(t_1)|\right) e^{\pi a L} \;=: A(\varepsilon)\, C \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where L is a Lipschitz constant for the right hand side of the geodesic equation of the background on a suitable compact set, C is a constant and $A(\varepsilon) \to 0$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\left(|\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \gamma^+(a\pi)|, |\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \dot{\gamma}^+(a\pi)|\right) \\ &\quad \leq \max\left(|\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \gamma^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon)| + |\gamma^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \gamma^+(a\pi)|, |\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \dot{\gamma}^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon)| + |\dot{\gamma}^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \dot{\gamma}^+(a\pi)|\right) \\ &\quad \leq A(\varepsilon)\, C \max\left(|\gamma^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \gamma^+(a\pi)|, |\dot{\gamma}^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) - \dot{\gamma}^+(a\pi)|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the latter term converges to zero by smoothness of γ^+ and we have established that $\gamma_\varepsilon^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) \to \gamma^+(\pi a)$ and $\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon^+(\alpha'_\varepsilon) = \dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\alpha'_\varepsilon) \to \dot{\gamma}^+(\pi a)$. In conclusion, the net $(\gamma_\varepsilon^+)_\varepsilon$ is



FIGURE 1. The construction of the solution candidate for the first two crossings of the impulse at parameter values $t = 0$ and $t = L = a\pi$.

a seed family and we can (re)apply the machinery of the previous subsection, in particular, Proposition 3.2. However, the corresponding ε_0 now depends on (the limit of) (35).

To iterate this construction set $K_n := [-\frac{a\pi}{2}, n\frac{a\pi}{2}]$ for $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ which gives a compact exhaustion of $[-\frac{a\pi}{2}, \infty)$ (i.e., $K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}^\circ$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = [-\frac{a\pi}{2}, \infty)$), such that K_n yields exactly n crossings ($n \geq 1$). Then by the above for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_n$ there is a unique solution γ_ε of (31) with initial data (33) on K_n.

At this point we apply Lemma 3.4 by setting $M := [-\frac{a\pi}{2}, \infty)$ and $N := \mathbb{R}^5$ and define $\gamma\colon (0,\infty) \times [-\frac{a\pi}{2}, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^5$ by

$$(\varepsilon, t) \mapsto \gamma_\varepsilon(t)\,, \text{ whenever } t \in K_n, \text{ where } n \text{ is minimal and } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_n \tag{38}$$

and extend it arbitrary but smoothly to bigger values of t and ε. Then from the above (in particular, the uniqueness of the solutions of (31), (33)) it is clear that if γ_ε is a solution on K_n, then γ_ε is also a solution on K_m for $m \leq n$. At this point we define the property (P) by

$$\text{(P) holds at } \gamma_\varepsilon(t) \text{ if } \gamma_\varepsilon(t) \text{ solves (31) at } t\,.$$

Now the assumptions of the lemma hold since for any $K \Subset [0,\infty)$ there is a minimal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq K_n$. Thus there is an $\varepsilon_n > 0$ and there are unique solutions $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_n}$ on K_n, hence on K. So Lemma 3.4 provides $\tilde{\gamma}_\varepsilon$ (again called γ_ε in the statement of the theorem) defined on $[0,\infty)$ that is a solution of the geodesic equations (31) with data (33) for all ε. □

3.3. **Global existence of solutions**

In this section we establish existence of solutions of the geodesic equations (14). Indeed, by showing c-boundedness and moderateness of the solution candidate given in Theorem (3.5) we actually prove existence of *global* solutions.

Theorem 3.6 (Global Existence)**.** *The global solution candidate $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in I}$ of* (14)*, given by Theorem 3.5 is moderate and c-bounded. Hence it defines a global solution $[(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$ to the geodesic equation* (14) *with data* (33) *(derived from a single seed geodesic with data* (20)*).*

Proof: First observe that we have to prove the asymptotic estimates on compact time intervals only. So we can restrict ourselves to such intervals that contain one single crossing of the impulse or to such which contain no crossing at all.

To deal with the first case without loss of generality we only consider the first crossing of the impulse at $t = 0$. Let $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be given by Theorem 3.5, then γ_ε is a solution of (31) on $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon + \eta]$, where η is independent of ε. On this interval the U- and Z-components (i.e., $X_\varepsilon = (U_\varepsilon, Z_\varepsilon)$) and their first order derivatives are are bounded uniformly in ε by Proposition 3.2. So they are c-bounded together with their first order derivatives. Moreover by iteratively using the differential equation (31) (with coefficients at worst of order $1/\varepsilon$) the derivatives of order k satisfy an $O(\varepsilon^{1-k})$ estimate. Also, V_ε is bounded, uniformly in ε, on the interval $[\alpha_\varepsilon, \beta_\varepsilon]$ (cf. the discussion above equation (32)) and so by integration, $\dot{V}_\varepsilon$ satisfies an $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ estimate. Inductively, the higher order estimates again follow from (31).

Now let K be a compact time interval disjoint from any crossing of the impulse, i.e., $ka\pi \notin K$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. There $(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ are background geodesics depending on ε only via their data. Since the latter converges we obtain moderateness and c-boundedness between impulses as follows. Via a mean value argument one sees that γ_ε and $\dot{\gamma}_\varepsilon$ are uniformly bounded (cf. [SSLP16, Equation (3.10), p. 9]). Differentiating the differential equation (31) $k-2$-times and using again continuous dependence on initial conditions yields that the k-th derivative satisfies an $O(\varepsilon^{-k+1})$ estimate. This establishes moderateness, c-boundedness is clear by the uniform boundedness properties of γ_ε as noted above. □

3.4. **Global uniqueness of solutions**

Our final step is to show that the global geodesics obtained above are in fact the *unique* solutions of (14) with corresponding data (33). As remarked in the beginning of this section this can be viewed as an additional stability result.

First note that uniqueness for (14), (33) does not follow from the uniqueness part of Proposition 3.2 since this only provides unique solvability of (23) respectively (31), (33), that is uniqueness 'on the ε-level'. Here we have, however, to provide uniqueness of (14), (33) in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$, that is we have to show that negligibly perturbed data and right-hand-side of the equations only lead to negligibly perturbed solutions.

We will even show uniqueness in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^5]$ by using the result for the (perturbed) model IVP (23). In fact, this is stronger than needed, since uniqueness in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$ would restrict the possible negligible perturbations (so as to stay on the hyperboloid M).

Moreover note that for uniqueness in $\mathcal{G}[J, \mathbb{R}^5]$ we would need also to perturb the U-initial data, i.e., $u_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon + n_\varepsilon$, where $(n_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}$. However, by shifting the parameter (e.g., $u_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon$) we can without loss of generality assume that there is no negligible perturbation in the U-initial data.

Theorem 3.7 (Uniqueness)**.** *The global solution* $[(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$ *of Theorem 3.6 to the geodesic equation* (14) *with data* (33) *is unique.*

Proof: To begin with we observe that it is sufficient to consider the model system (23) since the V-equation can simply be integrated once U and Z_p are known. This gives uniqueness by the basic fact that integration is well defined in generalized functions.

So let x_ε be a solution of the initial value problem (23) with all negligible terms set to zero and let $\tilde{x}_\varepsilon := (\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{z}_\varepsilon)$ solve (23) with all the negligible terms on the r.h.s. and the data in effect. Generally we will denote quantities depending on $\tilde{x}_\varepsilon$ also with a tilde.

Again we have to show the asymptotic estimates only on compact time intervals J containing at most one crossing of the impulse. Without loss of generality we deal with the first crossing at $t = 0$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

We start with the U-component: Since a and d are negligible, there is $C > 0$ and such that for all ε small enough and for all $t \in J$

$$|u_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^q + \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \Big|\frac{u_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} - \frac{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{N}_\varepsilon}\Big| \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \Big|\frac{\Delta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_\varepsilon \tilde{u}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{N}_\varepsilon}\Big| \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,. \tag{39}$$

Using Lemma 3.1(i) the first integrand can be bounded by $\frac{4}{a^4}|u_\varepsilon||\tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{H}\tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^2 H\delta_\varepsilon| + \frac{2}{a^2}|u_\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|$. Keeping the second term and estimating the first one further we obtain

$$|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{H}\tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon^2 H\delta_\varepsilon| \leq |u_\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon||\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \tilde{H}\tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon| + |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon||H - \tilde{H}| + |Hu_\varepsilon||\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon|\,, \tag{40}$$

where we can use $|H - \tilde{H}| \leq \mathrm{Lip}(H)|z_\varepsilon - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon|$ for the second term.

For the third term we use a generalization of [SSLP16, Lemma A.4(i), p. 25]. Note that here we have $x_\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{X}_\varepsilon \neq \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\varepsilon \ni \tilde{x}_\varepsilon$ since they have different initial conditions. Nevertheless the estimate in Lemma 3.1(ii) on the diameter of $\Gamma_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ is independent of d_ε and so

$$\mathrm{diam}(\Gamma_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \cup \Gamma_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)) \leq \frac{4\varepsilon}{\dot{u}^0}$$

and the proof of [SSLP16, Lemma A.4(i), p. 25] remains intact. Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\tilde{\delta}_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon|\mathrm{d}s \leq C|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(t) - u_\varepsilon(t)|\,.$$

Summing up we have

$$\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \Big|\frac{u_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} - \frac{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{N}_\varepsilon}\Big| \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s \leq C\psi(t)\,, \tag{41}$$

where $\psi(t) := |u_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(t)| + |\dot{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\tilde{u}}_\varepsilon(t)| + |z_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon(t)| + |\dot{z}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\tilde{z}}_\varepsilon(t)|$.

The second integrand of (39) can be controlled as follows

$$\Big|\frac{u_\varepsilon\Delta_\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} - \frac{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\tilde{\Delta}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{N}_\varepsilon}\Big| \leq \frac{2}{a^2}|u_\varepsilon\Delta_\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\tilde{\Delta}_\varepsilon| + \frac{4}{a^4}|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\tilde{\Delta}_\varepsilon||\tilde{N}_\varepsilon - N_\varepsilon|\,,$$

where the first term on the right-hand-side can be bounded as in [SSLP16, (A.35), p. 27] using the above generalization of [SSLP16, Lemma A.4(i), p. 25]. The second term is proportional to the one estimated in (40) and we obtain

$$|u_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^q + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \psi(r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

The estimates for $|\dot{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\tilde{u}}_\varepsilon(t)|$ are obtained in complete analogy and give

$$|\dot{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\tilde{u}}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^q + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \psi(s)\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

The estimates for $|z_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon(t)|$ and its derivative are obtained along the same lines now using an analogous generalization of [SSLP16, Lemma A.4(ii), p. 25] and give

$$|z_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^q + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \psi(r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,,$$
$$|\dot{z}_\varepsilon(t) - \dot{\tilde{z}}_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^q + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \psi(s)\mathrm{d}s + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \psi(r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^r \psi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

Here we have used that x_ε and $\tilde{x}_\varepsilon$ are the fixed points of the corresponding operators (26) A_ε and $\tilde{A}_\varepsilon$, respectively. Thus $z_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon^2(A_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon))$ and $\tilde{z}_\varepsilon = \tilde{A}_\varepsilon^2(\tilde{A}_\varepsilon(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon))$ and we can eliminate any $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$-terms, in contrast to [SSLP16, Proposition A.5, p. 26ff.].

So finally,

$$\psi(t) \leq C\varepsilon^q + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \psi(s)\mathrm{d}s + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \psi(r)\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s + C\int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^t \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s \int_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^r \psi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}s\,,$$

and consequently $\sup_{t\in J}\psi(t) \leq C\varepsilon^q$ by Bykov's inequality (a generalization of Gronwall's inequality, cf. [BS92, Theorem 1.11, p. 98f.]). Using [GKOS01, Lemma 1.2.3, p. 11] we obtain that $(u_\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ and $(z_\varepsilon - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ are negligible. □

4. Geodesic completeness

We now address the issue of completeness and begin with a discussion of the geodesics neglected so far, i.e., those whose initial data is *not* given by a seed geodesic crossing $\{U = 0\}$. These remaining geodesics $\gamma = (U, V, Z_p)$ all have a trivial U-component hence propagate parallel to the impulsive wave located at $\{U = 0\}$. In case $U = \text{const.} \neq 0$ such geodesics will never enter the regularized wave zone for ε small enough. Hence they are background geodesics and therefore complete. Finally to treat geodesics with $U \equiv 0$, observe that the surface $\{U = 0\}$ is totally geodesic (not only in the background but also) in the generalized spacetime, which can be seen from the U-component of the geodesic equations (14) (see also [PSSŠ15], the discussion prior to Theorem 3.6). Hence such geodesics have trivial U-components and consequently the system (14) reduces to the background geodesic equations which again leads to completeness.

So the only real issue are the geodesics crossing the shock which we have already dealt with in Section 3 above. Recall that there we did not put any restrictions on the cosmological constant Λ or the causal character e of the geodesics. So we obtain without further effort our main result, the geodesic completeness of all non-expanding impulsive gravitational waves propagating in the (anti-)de Sitter universe.

Corollary 4.1 (Completeness)**.** *The generalized impulsive wave spacetime (M, g) given by* (13)*,* (12) *is geodesically complete.*

5. Associated geodesics

In this final section we briefly demonstrate how we can recover the limiting geodesics of [SSLP16, Section 5] in our present framework. We will do so using the notion of association in spaces of generalized functions briefly recalled in Section 2. We start by making the latter more precise and additionally define the notion of k-association.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. A generalized function $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is called*

(i) associated with $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, *denoted by* $f \approx u$, *if*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega f_\varepsilon(x)\phi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \langle u,\phi\rangle \quad \textit{for all } \phi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega)\,,$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ *denotes the distributional action.*

(ii) k-associated with $u \in C^k(\Omega)$, *denoted by* $f \approx_k u$, *if* $f_\varepsilon \to u$ *in* $C^k(\Omega)$, *i.e., uniformly on all compact subsets of* Ω *up to derivatives of order* k.

Next we define the limiting geodesics which can heuristically be viewed as the geodesics of the distributional form of the metric given by (7), (6). Note, however, that these do *not* actually solve the corresponding geodesic equations. These limiting geodesics are given by appropriately matched geodesics of the background spacetime across the impulsive wave surface. For simplicity we only deal with the first crossing of the impulse at $t = 0$. Let $[(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] = [(U_\varepsilon.V_\varepsilon, Z_{p\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ be the (global) solution of (31), (33), given by Theorem 3.5 and set

$$\tilde\gamma(t) = (\tilde U,\tilde V,\tilde Z_p)(t) := \begin{cases}\gamma^-(t)\,, & t\le 0\\ \gamma^+(t)\,, & t>0\,,\end{cases} \tag{42}$$

where $\gamma^- = (U^-, V^-, Z_p^-)$ is the seed geodesic with data (20), that is

$$\gamma^-(0) = (0, V^0, Z_p^0)\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot\gamma^-(0) = (\dot U^0 > 0, \dot V^0, \dot Z_p^0)\,, \tag{43}$$

(cf. the discussion prior to (33)). Furthermore $\gamma^+ = (U^+, V^+, Z_p^+)$ is the background geodesic crossing $U = 0$ at $t = 0$ with data given by the limit of (35), that is more precisely

$$\gamma^+(0) = (0, \mathsf{B}, Z_p^0)\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot\gamma^+(0) = (\dot U^0, \mathsf{C}, \mathsf{A_p})\,, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\mathsf{A_p} := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\dot Z_{p\varepsilon}(\beta_\varepsilon)\,, \quad \mathsf{B} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} V_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon)\,, \quad \mathsf{C} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\dot V_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon)\,. \tag{45}$$

Again β_ε is defined to be the time when γ_ε leaves the regularization strip, i.e., $U_\varepsilon(\beta_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. We also remark that the constants $\mathsf{A_p}$, B, and C have been explicitly computed in terms of the seed data (43) and the values of H and its first order derivatives at the impulsive surface in [SSLP16, Proposition 5.3].

Now [SSLP16, Theorem 5.1, p. 14ff.] translates into the following statement.

Theorem 5.2 (Associated geodesics)**.** *The solution* $\gamma = (U, V, Z_p) = [(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ *of* (14), (33) *is associated with the limiting geodesic* $\tilde\gamma$ *of* (42). *Moreover we have* $U \approx_1 \tilde U$ *and* $Z_p \approx_0 \tilde Z_p$.

Note that these convergences are optimal in the light of $\tilde V$ and $\dot{\tilde Z}_p$ being discontinuous across $t = 0$, i.e., the limiting geodesics being refracted geodesics of the background suffering a jump in the V-position and V-velocity as well as in the Z_p-velocity, cf. [SSLP16, Section 5].

References

[AB97] P.C. Aichelburg and H. Balasin Generalized symmetries of impulsive gravitational waves. *Class. Quantum Grav.* 14, A31-41, 1997.

[BS92] Bainov D. and Simeonov P. S., *Integral inequalities and applications*, volume 57, Springer, 1992.

[BH03] C. Barrabès and P. A. Hogan. *Singular null hypersurfaces in general relativity.* World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003. Light-like signals from violent astrophysical events.

[BK12] A. Burtscher and M. Kunzinger. Algebras of generalized functions with smooth parameter dependence. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2)*, 55:105–124, 1 2012.

[CFS03] Candela A. M., Flores, J. L. and Sánchez M., On general plane fronted waves. Geodesics, *Gen. Relativity Gravitation*, **35** (2003) 631–649.

[CFS04] Candela A. M., Flores J. L. and Sánchez, M., Geodesic connectedness in plane wave type spacetimes. A variational approach. In *Dynamic systems and applications*. **4** (2004) 458–464. Dynamic, Atlanta, GA.

[Col85] J.-F. Colombeau. *Elementary introduction to new generalized functions*, volume 113 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. Notes on Pure Mathematics, 103.

[DeR84] De Rham, G., *Differentiable Manifolds*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[DRD91] J. W. De Roever and M. Damsma. Colombeau algebras on a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-manifold. *Indag. Mathem., N.S.*, **2**(3), 1991.

[EG11] E. Erlacher and M. Grosser. Inversion of a 'discontinuous coordinate transformation' in general relativity. *Appl. Anal.*, 90(11):1707–1728, 2011.

[EG13] E. Erlacher and M. Grosser. Ordinary differential equations in algebras of generalized functions. In *Pseudo-differential operators, generalized functions and asymptotics*, volume 231 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 253–270. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2013.

[FS03] Flores J. L. and Sánchez M., Causality and conjugate points in general plane waves, *Classical Quantum Gravity*, **20** (2003) 2275–2291.

[FS06] Flores J.L. and Sánchez M., On the geometry of pp-wave type spacetimes. In *Analytical and numerical approaches to mathematical relativity*, volume **692** of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 79–98. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[GT87] Geroch R. and Traschen J., Strings and other distributional sources in general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987) 1017–1031.

[GKOS01] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, and R. Steinbauer. *Geometric theory of generalized functions with applications to general relativity*, volume 537 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.

[GP09] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolský. *Exact space-times in Einstein's general relativity*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[HKS12] G. Hörmann, M. Kunzinger, and R. Steinbauer. Wave equations on non-smooth space-times. In *Evolution equations of hyperbolic and Schrödinger type*, volume 301 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 163–186. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.

[HT93] Hotta M. and Tanaka M., Shock-wave geometry with non-vanishing cosmological constant, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** (1993) 307–314.

[KS99] Kunzinger M. and Steinbauer R., A rigorous solution concept for geodesic and geodesic deviation equations in impulsive gravitational waves, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 1479–1489.

[KS99a] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. A note on the Penrose junction conditions. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 16(4):1255–1264, 1999.

[KS02a] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. Foundations of a nonlinear distributional geometry. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 71(2):179–206, 2002.

[KS02b] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. Generalized pseudo-Riemannian geometry. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(10):4179–4199, 2002.

[KOSV04] M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, R. Steinbauer, and J. A. Vickers. Generalized flows and singular ODEs on differentiable manifolds. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 80(2):221–241, 2004.

[KSSV14] M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, M. Stojković, and J. A. Vickers. A regularisation approach to causality theory for $C^{1,1}$-Lorentzian metrics. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation*, 46(8):Art. 1738, 18, 2014.

[KSSV15] Kunzinger, M., Steinbauer, R., Stojković, Vickers, J.A., Hawking's singularity theorem for $C^{1,1}$-metrics. Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 075012, 19pp, 2015

[KSV15] Kunzinger, M., Steinbauer, Vickers, J.A., The Penrose singularity theorem in regularity $C^{1,1}$. Classical Quantum Gravity 32 (2015), no. 15, 155010, 12 pp

[LFM07] LeFloch P. and Madare C., Definition and stability of Lorentzian manifolds with distributional curvature, *Port. Math. (N.S.)* **64** (2007) 535–573.

[Mar68] Marsden, J.E., Generalized Hamiltonian mechanics, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **28** (4), 323-361 (1968).

[Obe92] M. Oberguggenberger. *Multiplication of distributions and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 259 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1992.

[Pen65] R. Penrose. Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 14, 57–59, 1965.

[Pen72] R. Penrose. The geometry of impulsive gravitational waves. In *General relativity (papers in honour of J. L. Synge)*, pages 101–115. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972.

[P98] Podolský J., Non-expanding impulsive gravitational waves, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** (1998) 3229–3239.

[Pod02] J. Podolský. Exact impulsive gravitational waves in spacetimes of constant curvature. In *Gravitation: Following the Prague Inspiration*, pages 205–246. World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.

[PG98] Podolský J. and Griffiths J.B., Impulsive waves in de Sitter and anti-de Sitter space-times generated by null particles with an arbitrary multipole structure, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** (1998) 453–463.

[PG99] Podolský J. and Griffiths J.B., Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves with an arbitrary cosmological constant, *Phys. Lett. A* **261** (1999) 1–4.

[PO01] Podolský J. and Ortaggio M., Symmetries and geodesics in (anti-)de Sitter spacetimes with non-expanding impulsive gravitational waves, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** (2001) 2689–2706.

[PV98] J. Podolský and K. Vesely Continuous coordinates for all impulsive pp-waves. Phys. Lett. A, 241, 145-7, 1998.

[PSSŠ15] J. Podolský, C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, and R. Švarc. The global existence, uniqueness and C^1-regularity of geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 32(2):025003, 23, 2015.

[SS12] C. Sämann and R. Steinbauer. On the completeness of impulsive gravitational wave spacetimes. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 29(24):245011, 11, 2012.

[SS15] C. Sämann and R. Steinbauer. Geodesic completeness of generalized space-times. In *Pseudo-differential operators and generalized functions*, volume 245 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 243–253. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015.

[SSLP16] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, A. Lecke, and J. Podolský. Geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves with Λ, Part I. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 33(11):115002, 2016.

[Sfe95] Sfetsos K., On gravitational shock waves in curved spacetimes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **436**, 721–746, 1995.

[Ste98] R. Steinbauer. Geodesics and geodesic deviation for impulsive gravitational waves. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39(4):2201–2212, 1998.

[Ste08] R. Steinbauer. A note on distributional semi-Riemannian geometry. *Novi Sad J. Math.*, 38(3):189–199, 2008.

[SV09] Steinbauer R. and Vickers J. A., On the Geroch–Traschen class of metrics, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **26** (2009) 065001.

[Wei:52] Weissinger J., Zur Theorie und Anwendung des Iterationsverfahrens, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, **8** (1952) 193–212.

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: clemens.saemann@univie.ac.at
E-mail address: roland.steinbauer@univie.ac.at